Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,182	STEPHAN, VOLKER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperation apperation being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to amendment filed 22 Journal of the allowed claim(s) is/are 28-44. 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under the allowed claim (s) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this applior other appropriate communication (GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308. Summe 2005; telephone interview of 31 (Index 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS withdrawal from issue at the initiative August 2005.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' es reason(s) why the oath or declara	'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	t be submitted.	
(a) \square including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	84(c)) should be written on the drawin he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d	ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)	_	
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_	atent Application (PTO-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 	8), 7. 🛛 Examiner's Amendn	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
	9.	Robut P. Swiatek ROBERT P. SWIATEK PRIMARY EXAMINER ART: UNIT 382 3643

Art Unit: 3643

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with

Mr. Timothy J. Klima on 31 August 2005.

The application has been amended as follows: In claim 28, lines 3, 6, claim 30, line 1,

claim 31, line 1, claim 32, lines 3, 6, 8, claim 33, line 1, claim 34, line 1, claim 37, lines 3, 6, 7,

claim 38, line 1, claim 39, line 1, claim 42, lines 1, 2, and claim 43, line 2, the word -flow- has

been inserted after each occurrence of "fluid"; in claim 28, line 5, and claim 32, line 5, the

second occurrence of "the" has been deleted; in claim 43, line 2, "self-" has been deleted.

It is noted that applicant's assent in changing the term "fluid" to -fluid flow- in the above

claims was given with the understanding that it was not done to change the intended definition of

"fluid" but to clarify what was originally intended in the claims.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The claims in this

application have been allowed because the prior art does not disclose a method for the control of

at least one engine of an aircraft having at least two engines comprising altering the thrust of one

engine with respect to the thrust from the at least one other engine to alter trimming of a rudder

Application/Control Number: 10/773,182

Art Unit: 3643

of the aircraft, wherein at least one of energy, fluid flow, and other media is generated by and

taken from the one engine to provide a desired yaw force to the aircraft. The prior art also does

not disclose a method for the control of at least a first engine and a second engine of an aircraft

having at least two engines comprising supplying and taking at least one of energy, fluid flow,

and other media to and from the engines to alter a thrust ratio between the engines and to alter

trimming of a rudder of the aircraft, wherein at least one of the energy, fluid flow, and other

media is generated by and taken from the first engine and supplied to the second engine.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

This case is being passed to issue with allowed claims 28, 29, 44, 30-43, renumbered as

claims 1-17.

RPS: **2571/272-6894**

2 September 2005

ROBERT P. SWIATEK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 32% 3643

Page 3